Anharmonic quantum mechanical systems do not feature phase space trajectories

Maxime Oliva, Dimitris Kakofengitis and Ole Steuernagel
School of Physics, Astronomy and Mathematics, University of Hertfordshire, Hatfield, AL10 9AB, UK
(Dated: November 11, 2016)

Phase space dynamics in classical mechanics is described by transport along trajectories. Amongst quantum mechanical systems, only systems with at most quadratic potentials allow for a trajectory-based description of their phase space dynamics. We show that anharmonic quantum mechanical systems' generation of quantum-mechanical coherences is responsible for the occurrence of singularities in their phase space velocity fields. This implies that anharmonic quantum systems cannot feature trajectories. For their quantum phase space dynamics the Lagrangian approach of moving-with-the-flow along trajectories yields misleading results. This invalidates some trajectory-based approaches to quantum phase space studies.

arXiv:1611.03303v1 [quant-ph] 10 Nov 2016

I. INTRODUCTION AND MOTIVATION

In classical mechanics, phase space dynamics is described by the transport equations of Hamiltonian flow. Their solutions for a system with a sharply located initial state, described by a point in phase space, gives rise to the concept of trajectories in phase space. The generalization to the dynamics of a classical probability distribution uses a collection of trajectories weighted with the statistical weight of each start point. In this sense, there is no difference between the motion of a single system or its ensemble in classical mechanics.

Heisenberg demonstrated that the concept of a sharply defined phase space trajectory is incompatible with the uncertainty principle [1]. Instead, a quantum-distribution describes the motion of a single system which fundamentally entails variance in position and momentum and thus uncertainty about its center-of-mass movement.

This in itself has not stopped us from describing quantum systems using trajectories. For example, the field of quantum-characteristics studies a system's center-of-mass motion in phase space and its higher order moments.

The concept of paths (unlike trajectories, paths do not have to conform with the equations of motion) has been fruitful in path-integral formalisms applied to configuration or phase space.

Configuration space trajectories are used in Bohm's version of quantum theory and have experimental relevance [2].

Semiclassical methods employ classical trajectories along which quantum objects are carried [3–5].

Techniques employing trajectories in quantum phase space are of great interest in theoretical chemistry since the great complexity of chemical systems forces us to find simplifications to make calculations of quantum dynamics tractable [3, 6–10]. The quantum distribution which is the *"closest quantum analogue of the classical phase-space distribution"* [11], is Wigner's distribution [12, 13]. It is fruitfully studied in theoretical [14] and experimental [15] quantum optics and famously features regions of negative probability [15, 16] to account for the coherences of quantum systems [17].

Here we show that attempts to understand and numerically approximate quantum dynamics of anharmonic systems has frequently relied on the concept of phase space trajectories in a way unsuitable for this task. We prove that for anharmonic systems trajectories do not exist globally in phase space and we show that the formation of quantum coherences is the reason for this finding. We demonstrate that applying trajectory concepts to the evolution of the Wigner function can lead to very misleading results.

II. QUANTUM MECHANICS IN PHASE SPACE: THE WIGNER DISTRIBUTION

In this work we consider one-dimensional conservative quantum mechanical systems governed by hamiltonians of the form $\hat{H}(\hat{x},\hat{p}) = \hat{p}^2/(2M) + \hat{V}(\hat{x})$ describing a point particle with mass M in a potential $V(x)$ which can be expanded into a global Taylor series in position x.

In Wigner's quantum phase-space distribution [12, 13, 18]

$$W_\varrho(\boldsymbol{r},t) = \frac{1}{\pi\hbar}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy\ \langle x-y|\hat{\varrho}(t)|x+y\rangle \cdot e^{\frac{2i}{\hbar}py}, \quad (1)$$

$\hbar = h/(2\pi)$ is Planck's constant and $\hat{\varrho}$ the density operator of the system's quantum state. $\boldsymbol{r} = \binom{x}{p}$ parametrises the phase space, with momentum p, and time t; we denote vectors in bold face.

A. Time evolution of the Wigner distribution

The time evolution of W is given by the Eulerian continuity equation [12]

$$\partial_t W(\boldsymbol{r},t) = -\boldsymbol{\nabla}\cdot\boldsymbol{J}(\boldsymbol{r},t)\ . \quad (2)$$

Above, partial derivatives ($\partial_t = \partial/\partial t$) in phase space are combined to form the divergence $\boldsymbol{\nabla}\cdot\boldsymbol{J} = \partial_x J_x + \partial_p J_p$.

Generally, the Wigner current $\boldsymbol{J}$ has an integral representation [12, 18, 19], analogous to Eq. (1), but for

potentials $V(x)$ that can be Taylor-expanded, giving rise to finite forces only, $\boldsymbol{J}$ is of the form [12]

$$\boldsymbol{J} = \begin{pmatrix} J_x \\ J_p \end{pmatrix} = \boldsymbol{j} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{(i\hbar/2)^{2l}}{(2l+1)!} \partial_p^{2l} W \partial_x^{2l+1} V \end{pmatrix} . \quad (3)$$

Here, with $\boldsymbol{v} = \begin{pmatrix} p/M \\ -\partial_x V \end{pmatrix}$, $\boldsymbol{j} = W\boldsymbol{v}$ is the classical and $\boldsymbol{J} - \boldsymbol{j}$ are quantum terms.

Fieldlines of Wigner current are well defined and their depiction has helped to reveal the topological charge conservation of $\boldsymbol{J}$'s stagnation points [20].

For time-differentials dt, the integration of the continuity equation (2) yields

$$W(\boldsymbol{r}, t + dt) = W(\boldsymbol{r}, t) - dt \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{J} . \quad (4)$$

This evolution equation is well behaved; from a fluid dynamics point of view it is in *conservation form*.

B. Formation of coherences and negativities of the Wigner distribution

The primary difference between classical and quantum states is the ability of a quantum particle to form non-local coherences (to be present in both holes of a double slit [17]). Precisely these nonlocal coherences in configuration space are revealed by the Wigner distribution's negative patches [17] in phase space, and vice versa [11, 15, 21]. Coherences or negative patches can only be generated in anharmonic systems. Harmonic systems (and their isomorphic partners [22]) are of the quadratic form

$$\hat{H}_{quadratic}(\hat{x}, \hat{p}) = \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2M} + \frac{K}{2}\hat{x}^2 + a\hat{x} + b \quad (5)$$

(here K, a and b are any real constants [22]). They can feature negative patches of the Wigner distribution only if these are inserted into the initial condition, $W_0(x, p)$, but they cannot *generate* them.

III. THE LURE OF TRAJECTORIES

A priori it is not clear that one must not use trajectories for quantum phase space descriptions. Firstly, despite Heisenberg's uncertainty principle, Bohm's theory uses trajectories and, secondly, classical trajectories are a fruitful mathematical device for the description of quantum dynamics of a conservative system if the potential is of the quadratic form (5). In fact, for such systems the description using classical trajectories is simpler and, in this sense, superior to the use of standard Schrödinger wave function propagators [22], see [23] p. 352.

When trajectory techniques can be implemented for quantum dynamical phase space studies they should permit us to launch large numbers of trajectories while allowing us to efficiently parallelize computer code [8].

In what follows, we will see that in anharmonic quantum systems infinite values of the divergence of the quantum mechanical velocity field in phase space have to occur. These cannot be avoided and they are responsible for the fact that trajectories do not exist globally.

Let us first confirm that non-zero but finite values of the divergence of the velocity field need not pose problems: trajectories can exist in non-Liouvillian systems.

A. A simple system that violates Liouville's theorem but features trajectories

Liouville's theorem (of the volume-preservation of phase space flow [24]) is fulfilled in conservative classical mechanics since the hamiltonian (velocity) vector field $\boldsymbol{v}$ is divergence-free ($\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{v} = 0$).

A simple classical system violating Liouville's theorem is a free particle which is slowed down by friction: $\dot{p} = -\gamma p$. In this case $p(t) = p_0\ e^{-\gamma t}$ and $x(t) = x_0 + (1 - e^{-\gamma t})p_0/(m\gamma)$, giving rise to the phase space density evolution equation $\partial_t W = [-p/m\partial_x + \gamma p \partial_p + \gamma]W$ with the velocity field $\boldsymbol{v} = (p/m, -\gamma p)$. Its solution is

$$W(x, p, t) = \exp[\gamma t]\ W_0(x - \frac{p}{\gamma m}(e^{\gamma t} - 1), p\, e^{\gamma t}) , \quad (6)$$

where the coefficient function $\exp[\gamma t]$ keeps this distribution normalized while the dynamics shrinks phase space volumes uniformly across phase space: $\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{v} = -\gamma$.

Note that trajectories are given as reverse-transport functions in the arguments of the initial state W_0.

IV. THE LAGRANGIAN TRANSPORT FORM IS ILL-DEFINED

If one wants to consider phase space trajectories for anharmonic quantum mechanical systems one has to convert the Eulerian continuity Eq. (4) to its associated Lagrangian transport form. We show that this yields singularities in the phase space velocity field and its divergence. Therefore phase space trajectories are an ill-conceived concept for the quantum phase space dynamics of anharmonic systems, burdened with analytical and numerical aberrations.

A. W's time evolution in Lagrangian form

$\boldsymbol{J}$-fieldlines arise from integration of the continuity equation (2) in Eulerian form using partial derivatives $\partial_t W$. Trajectories, instead, originate from phase space transport in Lagrangian form using the total (or comoving) derivative $\frac{dW}{dt}$. To investigate this transport we have to rewrite continuity equation (2) in Lagrangian decomposition [7, 8, 25]

$$\frac{dW}{dt} = \partial_t W + \boldsymbol{w} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} W = -W \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{w} . \quad (7)$$

Here, the quantum phase space velocity field $\boldsymbol{w}$ [7, 8, 25], corresponding to the hamiltonian velocity field $\boldsymbol{v}$, is

$$\boldsymbol{w} = \frac{\boldsymbol{J}}{W} = \boldsymbol{v} + \frac{1}{W}\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{(i\hbar/2)^{2l}}{(2l+1)!}\partial_p^{2l} W \partial_x^{2l+1} V \end{pmatrix} . \quad (8)$$

$\boldsymbol{w}$ is singular at zeros of W, since generally zeros of W do not coincide with zeros of its derivatives. For time-differentials dt, the solution of Eq. (7) has the transport form [8, 25]

$$W(\boldsymbol{r} + dt\ \boldsymbol{w}, t + dt) = e^{-dt\boldsymbol{\nabla}\cdot\boldsymbol{w}}\ W(\boldsymbol{r}, t)\ , \quad (9)$$

where the transport shift can be expressed via a translation using the convective operator $\boldsymbol{w}\cdot\boldsymbol{\nabla}$

$$W(\boldsymbol{r} + dt\ \boldsymbol{w}, t) = e^{dt\ \boldsymbol{w}\cdot\boldsymbol{\nabla}}\ [W(\boldsymbol{r}, t)]\ . \quad (10)$$

Following references [8, 25] we formally extend the integration in time for the transport form (9). To this end we temporarily assume that globally $W > 0$ in order to assure that $|\boldsymbol{w}| < \infty$ and $|\boldsymbol{\nabla}\cdot\boldsymbol{w}| < \infty$ in Eq. (8). We formally arrive at the integrated transport form [8, 25]

$$W(\boldsymbol{r}(t), t) = e^{-\int_0^t d\tau \boldsymbol{\nabla}\cdot\boldsymbol{w}(\boldsymbol{r}(\tau),\tau)}\ W(\boldsymbol{r}(0), 0)\ . \quad (11)$$

Trahan and Wyatt deduced [8] "*two important non-crossing rules that follow directly from Eq. [(11)]: (i) a trajectory cannot cross a surface on which the density is zero; (ii) the sign of the density riding along the trajectory cannot change.*" [26]

Hudson's theorem [27], however, shows that for anharmonic systems the Wigner distribution can at best be positive everywhere in phase space for one point in time only. Time evolution in an anharmonic potential immediately introduces zero-lines of W somewhere in phase space. At W's zeros the Picard-Lindelöf theorem is violated, integrals (9), (10) and (11) do not exist. Therefore, the Lagrangian decomposition and its solution do not apply globally, across all of phase space.

In other words, Eq. (11) proves that bounded magnitude of $\boldsymbol{\nabla}\cdot\boldsymbol{w}$ precludes sign changes in W along streamlines of $\boldsymbol{w}$. What Trahan and Wyatt have really shown is that the singularities of $\boldsymbol{\nabla}\cdot\boldsymbol{w}$ are needed to create the negativities of W since the absence of such singularities precludes sign changes of W.

V. SHORT TIME INTEGRATION OF EULERIAN AND LAGRANGIAN EVOLUTION EQUATIONS

We now demonstrate that application of the Lagrangian decomposition creates misleading analytical and numerical results.

We assume that the Wigner distribution has no zero-lines: even in the absence of singularities of $\boldsymbol{w}$ and $\boldsymbol{\nabla}\cdot\boldsymbol{w}$ the Lagrangian approach fails.

Using the (positive) harmonic oscillator ground-state $W_0(\boldsymbol{r}) = (\hbar\pi)^{-1}\exp[-(x^2 + p^2/\hbar^2)]$ as an initial state, a quartic oscillator $V(x) = Kx^4$ immediately develops negativities [27], since W_0 is not an energy eigenstate.

A one step Euler approximation of continuity equation (2), using a finite rather than an infinitesimal value for Δt, applied to $W_0(\boldsymbol{r})$, according to equation (4), while ignoring higher order terms in Δt, reads

$$W(\boldsymbol{r}, \Delta t) \approx W_0(\boldsymbol{r})\ - \Delta t\boldsymbol{\nabla}\cdot\boldsymbol{J} \quad (12)$$

$$= \left[1 + \Delta t\left(-\hbar^2 K x\partial_p^3 + \{4Kx^3\partial_p - \frac{p}{M}\partial_x\}\right)\right]\ W_0(\boldsymbol{r})\ . \quad (13)$$

Eq. (13) is illustrated in Fig. 1 **A** and confirms the immediate formation of negativities.

To show that the growth of the magnitude $|\boldsymbol{\nabla}\cdot\boldsymbol{w}|$, at small values of W, is so explosive that it renders the Lagrangian approach misleading, we now repeat calculation (13) using the transport form (9). In this case, for the same initial state W_0, we get

$$W(\boldsymbol{r}, \Delta t) \approx W_0(\boldsymbol{r} - \Delta t\boldsymbol{w})\ [1 - \Delta t\ 8\hbar^2 K\ x\ p]\ , \quad (14)$$

$$\text{where } \boldsymbol{w} = \begin{pmatrix} p/M \\ -4Kx^3 + \hbar^2 K x\ W^{-1}\ \partial_p^{2l} W \end{pmatrix} . \quad (15)$$



FIG. 1. (Colour online) **Wigner distribution develops negativities, the transport form yields incorrect results. A**, The single step propagation of W_0 with $\hbar = 1, M = 1, V = x^4$, and $\Delta t = 10^{-2}$, using solution (4), shows formation of negativities as blue patches in phase space. Simultaneously, due to the crude nature of the one step Euler-approximation, employed in this illustration, spurious negative classical transport patches form, shown in yellow. **B**, Essentially the same scenario as **A** (for explicitness we chose a longer time of $\Delta t = 5\times10^{-2}$) is displayed using the transport form (9). **C**, Using a twelve step iteration of solution (4), while reducing the time step per iteration to $10^{-2}/12$, we end up with a better approximation. W's negativities (blue patches) persist and develop fringes, whereas the unphysical (yellow) classical patches recede. This is confirmed by an exact numerical integration (brown overlay). **D**, The transport shift form (10), for the same scenario as **B**, displays unphysical formation of humps highlighted in green, their positions confirm that the transport shift creates the deep depressions in **B**.

Equation (14) is incorrect, see Fig. 1 **B**, it puts the Wigner distribution's negative patches into the wrong sectors in phase space and creates deep gashes in them that violate probability conservation.

We cross-checked these results, see Fig. 1 **C**, using standard numerical Schrödinger function solvers, the 'QuTiP' programming suite [28] and a split-operator technique [29], confirming that only equation (13) and iterations thereof give acceptable results.

Fig. 1 **D** shows that even for our initially positive state W_0, the behaviour of $\boldsymbol{w}$ is responsible for the stark deviation of the Lagrangian transport form from the Eulerian continuity equation's solution.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

We do not know how our findings affect entangled trajectories-methods [7]. We hypothesize that smeared distributions (such as Husimi's Q-function) suffer from the same incompatibilities with the trajectory concept since their evolution equations are of forms similar to Eq. (3).

For quantum dynamics studies in phase space Lagrangian transport equations and the use of trajectories should be avoided.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Paul Brumer for his suggestion to investigate the formation of negativities in the Wigner distribution.

[1] W. Heisenberg, Z. Phys. **43**, 172 (1927).
[2] S. Kocsis, B. Braverman, S. Ravets, M. J. Stevens, R. P. Mirin, L. K. Shalm, and A. M. Steinberg, Science **332**, 1170 (2011).
[3] E. J. Heller, J. Chem. Phys. **65**, 1289 (1976).
[4] S. Tomsovic and E. J. Heller, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 664 (1991).
[5] M. V. Berry and N. L. Balazs, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **12**, 625 (1979).
[6] E. J. Heller, J. Chem. Phys. **94**, 2723 (1991).
[7] A. Donoso and C. C. Martens, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 223202 (2001).
[8] C. J. Trahan and R. E. Wyatt, J. Chem. Phys. **119**, 7017 (2003).
[9] T. Dittrich, E. A. Gómez, and L. A. Pachón, J. Chem. Phys. **132**, 214102 (2010).
[10] W. H. Miller, J. Chem. Phys. **136** (2012).
[11] W. H. Zurek, Nature **412**, 712 (2001), quant-ph/0201118.
[12] E. Wigner, Phys. Rev. **40**, 749 (1932).
[13] Out of various quantum phase space distributions [18], only Wigner's simultaneously yields the correct projections in Schrödinger's position $\varrho(x,x,t) = \int dp\ W(x,p,t)$ and momentum representation $\tilde{\varrho}(p,p,t) = \int dx\ W(x,p,t)$, while maintaining its form (1) when evolved in time and giving the overlap between states in the simple form $|\langle\psi_1(x,t)|\psi_2(x,t)\rangle|^2 = 2\pi\hbar \iint dxdp\ W_1(x,p,t)\ W_2(x,p,t)$.
[14] W. P. Schleich, *Quantum Optics in Phase Space* (Wiley-VCH, 2001).
[15] D. Leibfried, T. Pfau, and C. Monroe, Physics Today **51**, 22 (1998).
[16] M. S. Bartlett, in *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.*, Vol. 41 (Cambridge Univ Press, 1945) pp. 71–73.
[17] R. P. Feynman, *Negative probability* (Routledge, London, UK, 1987) pp. 235–248.
[18] M. Hillery, R. F. O'Connell, M. O. Scully, and E. P. Wigner, Phys. Rep. **106**, 121 (1984).
[19] G. A. Baker, Phys. Rev. **109**, 2198 (1958).
[20] O. Steuernagel, D. Kakofengitis, and G. Ritter, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 030401 (2013), 1208.2970.
[21] P. Grangier, Science **332**, 313 (2011).
[22] O. Steuernagel, Eur. Phys. J. Plus **129**, 114 (2014), 1405.0445.
[23] T. Takabayasi, Prog. Theo. Phys. **11**, 341 (1954).
[24] D. D. Nolte, Phys. Today **63**, 33 (2010).
[25] J. Daligault, Phys. Rev. A **68**, 010501 (2003).
[26] We cannot comment on statement (i), being unable to confirm it.
[27] R. Hudson, Rep. Math. Phys. **6**, 249 (1974).
[28] J. R. Johansson, P. D. Nation, and F. Nori, Comp. Phys. Comm. **184**, 1234 (2013), arXiv:1211.6518 [quant-ph].
[29] R. Cabrera, D. I. Bondar, K. Jacobs, and H. A. Rabitz, Phys. Rev. A **92**, 042122 (2015), 1212.3406.